

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,458	07/18/2003	Minoru Taya	106145-00064	1133
7590 11/21/2005			EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			ILAN, RUTH	
Suite 600			T	
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-5339			3616	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,458 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 8/26/05 is acknowledged.

Specification

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. In particular, the Examiner notes many instances of idiomatic English. Please carefully review and correct the specification for the correct grammatical construction.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8, line 22 the first instance of "4" should be "5". On page 9, line 5 describes the "permanent magnet 3". However reference number "3" has already been used to describe the lateral force generator. On page 9, line 2, "peaces" should be "pieces". On page 12, line 13, "lease" should be "least". On page 15, line 25, "generat3" is not understood.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the

description: On Figure 2, "32" and "33" are not mentioned in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 2, 4, and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 2, line 1, insert "a" before "hollow". In claim 2, line 5, "the said" is redundant. In claim 4, "the evaluation" lacks antecedent basis. The Examiner suggests "an evaluation". In claim 6, the Examiner suggests inserting "a" before each of "distance", "speed" and "CCD" respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1,2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, and 5 refer to "a controller" and "said controller." This language contradicts the language used in the specification, because in the claims it is referring to the lateral force generator, but in the specification, "the controller" is used to describe the ECU. Further, in claim 1, "a member to be inputted to a collision load" is idiomatic, and it is not clear what the scope is. In claim 1 and claim 2, "perpendicular to said member" and "perpendicular to the said member" are both unclear because no axes have been provided. Claim 6 appears to contradict the specification, because the collision sensor is not disclosed as a speed sensor, but rather is disclosed as being useable with a speed sensor. Claim 8 is completely narrative in form, and the intended scope is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Culbertson (US 5,460,421.) Culbertson teaches a controller (26) for controlling a buckling form that includes frame restrictors (32,33) which are provided on a hollow member (19) in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the hollow member and restrict the deformation (so as to have the form 19') and a restriction regulator (26) The collision detector is a speed sensor (46.) Regarding claim 5, as broadly recited the element 19 can reasonably be considered to be part of the bumper.

since it is integrally attached to element 12 and designed to move with the bumper in certain conditions. Regarding claim 8, as best understood, the difference in resistance in each case is a maximum.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bez, Vives et al., Urushiyama et al., Wathen, Weller, Smith, Curtis, Lee, Faigle, and Gibby teach energy absorbing frames of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth Ilan whose telephone number is 571-272-6673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth Ilan

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3616

RI 11/14/05